

FRAMES FOR SPACES OF PALEY-WIENER FUNCTIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

ISAAC PESENSON

ABSTRACT. It is shown that Paley-Wiener functions on Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry can be reconstructed in a stable way from some countable sets of their inner products with certain distributions of compact support. A reconstruction method in terms of frames is given which is a generalization of the classical result of Duffin-Schaeffer about exponential frames on intervals. All results are specified in the case of the two-dimensional hyperbolic space in its Poincare upper half-plane realization.

1. INTRODUCTION

A function $f \in L_2(R)$ is called ω -bandlimited if its L_2 -Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x t} dx$$

has support in $[-\omega, \omega]$.

The Paley-Wiener theorem states that $f \in L_2(R)$ is ω -bandlimited if and only if f is an entire function of exponential type not exceeding $2\pi\omega$. ω -bandlimited functions form the Paley-Wiener class PW_ω and often called Paley-Wiener functions.

The classical sampling theorem says, that if f is ω -bandlimited then f is completely determined by its values at points $j/2\omega, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and can be reconstructed in a stable way from the samples $f(j/2\omega)$, i.e.

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{j}{2\omega}\right) \frac{\sin(2\pi\omega(x - j/2\omega))}{2\pi\omega(x - j/2\omega)},$$

where convergence is understood in the L_2 -sense. Moreover, the following equality between "continuous" and "discrete" norms holds true

$$(1.2) \quad \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(j/2\omega)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This equality follows from the fact that the functions $e^{2\pi i t(j/2\omega)}$ form an orthonormal basis in $L_2[-\omega, \omega]$.

The formulas (1.1) and (1.2) involve regularly spaced points $j/2\omega, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. If one would like to consider irregular sampling at a sequence of points $\{x_j\}$ and still have

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A85; Secondary 42C99.

Key words and phrases. Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds, Plancherel-Polya inequality on manifolds, frames, Poincare upper half-plane.

a stable reconstruction from the samples $f(x_j)$ then instead of equality (1.2) the following Plancherel-Polya inequality should hold true

$$(1.3) \quad C_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x_j)|^2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C_2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x_j)|^2.$$

Such inequalities are also known as the frame inequalities.

Since the support of the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ is in $[-\omega, \omega]$ this inequality can be written in the following form

$$(1.4) \quad C_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{-\omega}^{+\omega} \hat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i t x_j} dt \right|^2 \leq \int_{-\omega}^{+\omega} |\hat{f}(t)|^2 dt \leq C_2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{-\omega}^{+\omega} \hat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i t x_j} dt \right|^2,$$

that means that the functions $\{e^{2\pi i t x_j}\}$ form a kind of a basis (not necessary orthogonal), which is called a *frame* in the space $L_2[-\omega, \omega]$.

There is a remarkable result of Duffin and Schaeffer [4], that the inequalities (1.3) imply existence of a *dual frame* $\{\theta_j\}$ which consists of ω -bandlimited functions such that any ω -bandlimited function can be reconstructed according to the following formula

$$(1.5) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x_j) \theta_j(x),$$

which is a generalization of the formula (1.1).

From this point of view the irregular sampling was considered in the classical paper of Duffin and Schaeffer [4] in which they show that for the so-called uniformly dense sequences of scalars $\{x_j\}, x_j \in \mathbb{R}$, the exponentials $\{e^{ix_j t}\}$ form frames in appropriate spaces $L_2[-\omega, \omega]$. In fact it was a far going development of some ideas of Paley and Wiener [17] about irregular sampling. The theory of irregular sampling was very active for many years [1], [2], [13], and it is still active now [16], [14].

The goal of the present article is to construct certain frames in spaces of Paley-Wiener functions on Riemannian manifolds and to show existence of reconstruction formulas of the type (1.5). Our main Theorem 3.2 is a generalization of the Duffin-Schaeffer result to the case of a Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry and the Theorem 4.4 is a specification of this general result to the case of the two-dimensional hyperbolic space in its upper-half plane realization.

The notion of Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds were introduced in the papers [18]-[26]. A subspace of Paley-Wiener functions $PW_\omega(M)$ on a Riemannian manifold M of bounded geometry consist of all $L_2(M)$ functions whose image in the spectral representation of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ has support in the interval $[0, \omega]$.

In [24], [26] a version of the Paley-Wiener theorem was shown and an irregular sampling Theorem on manifolds was proven. In the paper [25] a similar theory was developed in the context of a general Hilbert space. The cases of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and subelliptic cases on stratified Lie groups were considered in [21], [22], [23]. In all these situations the reconstruction algorithms were based one the notion of variational splines on manifolds and in Hilbert spaces. In the paper [7] an iterative reconstruction algorithm was introduced.

The results of the present paper are different from our previous results in the sense that

1) we use the notion of a frame to introduce a method of reconstruction of Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds;

2) we consider a kind of the "derivative sampling" which means that we reconstruct functions from certain sets of weighted average values of $(1 + \Delta)^k f$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that interesting results in a similar direction on locally compact groups were obtained recently by H. Führ [8] and H. Führ and K. Gröchenig [9].

In the case of the hyperbolic space we consider also reconstruction from pure "derivatives" $\Delta^k f$, for any fixed natural number $k > 0$. It is interesting to note that such result would be impossible to obtain on a general manifold. Moreover, this result does not hold true even in the case of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The reason why a Paley-Wiener function f on the hyperbolic plane can be reconstructed from its pure derivative $\Delta^k f$ is, that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the hyperbolic plane has bounded inverse. In this sense the situation on the hyperbolic plane is even "better" than on the $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note, that situation similar to the situation on the hyperbolic plane takes place on a general non-compact symmetric space.

Some partial results in these directions will appear in [27].

In the next section 2 some preliminary information on the subject is given. The role of frames is explained in the section 3. An example of the hyperbolic plane in its Poincare upper half-plane realization is given in the section 4.

2. PLANCHEREL-POLYA INEQUALITIES FOR PALEY-WIENER FUNCTIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF BOUNDED GEOMETRY

Let M , $\dim M = d$, be a connected C^∞ -smooth Riemannian manifold with a $(2,0)$ metric tensor g that defines an inner product on every tangent space $T_x(M)$, $x \in M$. The corresponding Riemannian distance d on M is the function $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$, which is defined as

$$d(x, y) = \inf \int_a^b \sqrt{g\left(\frac{d\alpha}{dt}, \frac{d\alpha}{dt}\right)} dt,$$

where $\inf$ is taken over all C^1 -curves $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow M$, $\alpha(a) = x$, $\alpha(b) = y$.

Let $\exp_x : T_x(M) \rightarrow M$, be the exponential geodesic map i. e. $\exp_x(u) = \gamma(1)$, $u \in T_x(M)$, where $\gamma(t)$ is the geodesic starting at x with the initial vector u : $\gamma(0) = x$, $\frac{d\gamma(0)}{dt} = u$. If the $\text{inj}(M) > 0$ is the injectivity radius of M then the exponential map is a diffeomorphism of a ball of radius $\rho < \text{inj}(M)$ in the tangent space $T_x(M)$ onto the ball $B(x, \rho)$. For every choice of an orthonormal (with respect to the inner product defined by g) basis of $T_x(M)$ the exponential map $\exp$ defines a coordinate system on $B(x, \rho)$ which is called geodesic.

Throughout the paper we will consider only geodesic coordinate systems.

We make the following assumptions about M :

1) the injectivity radius $\text{inj}(M)$ is positive;

2) for any $\rho \leq \text{inj}(M)$, and for every two canonical coordinate systems $\vartheta_x : T_x(M) \rightarrow B(x, \rho)$, $\vartheta_y : T_y(M) \rightarrow B(y, \rho)$, the following holds true

$$\sup_{x \in B(x, \rho) \cap B(y, \rho)} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\partial^{|\alpha|} \vartheta_x^{-1} \vartheta_y| \leq C(\rho, k);$$

3) the Ricci curvature Ric satisfies (as a form) the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad Ric \geq -kg, k \geq 0.$$

The Riemannian measure on M is given in any coordinate system by

$$d\mu = \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx,$$

where the $\{g_{ij}\}$ are the components of the tensor g in a local coordinate system, and dx is the Lebesgue's measure in R^d .

The following Lemma was proved in the paper [26].

Lemma 2.1. *For any Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry M there exists a natural N_M such that for any sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ there exists a set of points $\{x_i\}$ such that*

- (1) balls $B(x_i, \rho/4)$ are disjoint,
- (2) balls $B(x_i, \rho/2)$ form a cover of M ,
- (3) multiplicity of the cover by balls $B(x_i, \rho)$ is not greater N_M .

A set $\{x_i\}$ with such properties will be called a ρ -lattice and will be denoted $Z(x_i, \rho, N_M)$.

Let $K \subset B(x_0, \rho/2)$ be a compact subset and μ be a positive measure on K . We will always assume that the total measure of K is finite, i.e.

$$0 < |K| = \int_K d\mu < \infty.$$

We consider the following distribution on $C_0^\infty(B(x_0, \rho))$,

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(\varphi) = \int_K \varphi d\mu,$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, \rho))$. As a compactly supported distribution of order zero it has a unique continuous extension to the space $C^\infty(B(x_0, \rho))$.

Some examples of such distributions which are of particular interest to us are the following.

1) Delta functionals. In this case $K = \{x\}$, $x \in B(x_0, \rho/2)$, measure $d\mu$ is any positive number μ and $\Phi(f) = \mu\delta_x(f) = \mu f(x)$.

2) Finite or infinite sequences of delta functions δ_j , $x_j \in B(x_0, \rho/2)$, with corresponding weights μ_j . In this case $K = \{x_j\}$ and

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_j \mu_j \delta_{x_j}(f),$$

where we assume the following

$$0 < |K| = \sum_j |\mu_j| < \infty, K = \{x_j\}.$$

3) K is a smooth submanifold in $B(x_0, \rho/2)$ of any codimension and $d\mu$ is its "surface" measure.

4) K is the closure of $B(x_0, \rho/2)$ and $d\mu$ is the restriction of the Riemannian measure dx on M .

We chose a lattice $Z(x_i, \rho, N_M)$ and in every ball $B(x_i, \rho/2)$ we consider a distribution Φ_i of type (2.2) with support $K_i \subset B(x_i, \rho/2)$.

We say that a family $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}$ is uniformly bounded, if there exists a positive constant C_Φ such that

$$(2.3) \quad |K_j| \leq C_\Phi$$

for all j .

We will also say that a family $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}$ is separated from zero if there exists a constant $c_\Phi > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad |K_j| \geq c_\Phi$$

for all j where $|K_j| = \int_{K_j} d\mu_j$.

To construct Sobolev spaces $W_p^k(M)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider a lattice $Z(y_\nu, \lambda, N_M)$, $\lambda < \text{inj} M$. We remained that this assumption means in particular that the multiplicity of the covers $\{B(y_\nu, \lambda)\}$ and $\{B(y_\nu, \lambda/2)\}$ is not greater N_M .

We construct a partition of unity φ_ν that subordinate to the family $\{B(y_\nu, \lambda/2)\}$ and has the following properties.

$$\text{i)} \varphi_\nu \in C_0^\infty(B(y_\nu, \lambda/2)),$$

ii) $\sup_x \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k} |\varphi_\nu^{(\alpha)}(x)| \leq C(k)$, where $C(k)$ is independent on ν for every k in geodesic coordinates.

We introduce Sobolev space $W_p^k(M)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as the completion of $C_0^\infty(M)$ with respect to the norm

$$(2.5) \quad \|f\|_{W_p^k(M)} = \left(\sum_\nu \|\varphi_\nu f\|_{W_p^k(B(y_\nu, \lambda/2))}^p \right)^{1/p}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We will use the notation $H^k(M)$ for the space $W_2^k(M)$.

Let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a Riemannian manifold M , $\dim M = d$, with metric tensor g . In any local coordinate system

$$\Delta f = \sum_{m,k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \partial_m \left(\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} g^{mk} \partial_k f \right)$$

where $\det(g_{ij})$ is the determinant of the matrix (g_{ij}) .

It is known that Δ is a self-adjoint positive definite operator in the corresponding space $L_2(M, dx)$, where dx is the Riemannian measure. The regularity Theorem for the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ states that domains of the powers $\Delta^{k/2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, coincide with the Sobolev spaces $H^k(M)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the norm (2.5) is equivalent to the graph norm $\|f\| + \|\Delta^{k/2} f\|$.

We consider the positive square root $\Delta^{1/2}$ from the positive definite self-adjoint operator Δ . According to the spectral theory [3] for a selfadjoint positive definite operator $\Delta^{1/2}$ in a Hilbert space $L_2(M)$ there exist a direct integral of Hilbert spaces $X = \int X(\lambda) dm(\lambda)$ and a unitary operator F from $L_2(M)$ onto X , which transforms domain of $\Delta^{\mu/2}$, $\mu \geq 0$, onto $X_\mu = \{x \in X | \lambda^\mu x \in X\}$ with norm

$$\|x(\lambda)\|_{X_\mu} = \left(\int_0^\infty \lambda^{2\mu} \|x(\lambda)\|_{X(\lambda)}^2 dm(\lambda) \right)^{1/2}$$

besides $F(\Delta^{\mu/2}f) = \lambda^{\mu/2}(Ff)$, if f belongs to the domain of $\Delta^{\mu/2}$. As known, X is the set of all m -measurable functions $\lambda \rightarrow x(\lambda) \in X(\lambda)$, for which the norm

$$\|x\|_X = \left(\int_0^\infty \|x(\lambda)\|_{X(\lambda)}^2 dm(\lambda) \right)^{1/2}$$

is finite.

We will say that a function f from $L_2(M)$ belong to the Paley-Wiener space PW_ω if its "Fourier transform" Ff has support in $[0, \omega]$.

The next two theorems for an abstract selfadjoint operator in a Hilbert space can be found in [24], [25].

Theorem 2.2. *Let the $\mathcal{D}(\Delta^k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be the domain of the operator Δ^k and $\mathcal{D}^\infty = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}(\Delta^k)$. The following holds true:*

- a) the set $\bigcup_{\omega > 0} PW_\omega(M) \subset \mathcal{D}^\infty$ is dense in $L_2(M)$;
- b) for every $\omega > 0$ the set $PW_\omega(M)$ is a linear closed subspace in $L_2(M)$.

Using the spectral resolution of identity P_t of the operator Δ we define the unitary group of operators by the formula

$$e^{it\Delta}f = \int_0^\infty e^{it\Delta} dP_t f, f \in L_2(M).$$

The next theorem can be considered as a form of the Paley-Wiener theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) $f \in PW_\omega(M)$;
- 2) for all $s \geq 0$ the following Bernstein inequality holds true

$$(2.6) \quad \|\Delta^s f\| \leq \omega^{2s} \|f\|;$$

- 3) for every $g \in L_2(M)$ the scalar-valued function of the real variable $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$

$$\langle e^{it\Delta} f, g \rangle = \int_M e^{it\Delta} f \bar{g} dx$$

is bounded on the real line and has an extension to the complex plane as an entire function of the exponential type ω^2 ;

- 4) the abstract-valued function $e^{it\Delta} f$ is bounded on the real line and has an extension to the complex plane as an entire function of the exponential type ω^2 .

The following Theorem follows from the Sobolev embedding theorems and from a well known result of Nelson [15] about analytic vectors.

Theorem 2.4. *The following continuous embeddings hold true*

$$(2.7) \quad PW_\omega(M) \subset W_p^m(M),$$

where $W_p^m(M)$, is the Sobolev space on M , $p > 2$, and in particular

$$(2.8) \quad PW_\omega(M) \subset C_b^k(M),$$

where $C_b^k(M)$ is the space of k -differentiable bounded functions on M and $k > d/2$.

If, in addition, the manifold M is real-analytic then every Paley-Wiener function is real-analytic.

In our paper [26] the following generalization of the Plancherel-Polya inequality was proved.

Theorem 2.5. *For any given $C_\Phi > 0, c_\Phi > 0, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist positive constants C, c_1, c_2 , such that for every $\omega > 0$, every ρ -lattice $Z(x_i, \rho, N_M)$ with $0 < \rho < (C\omega)^{-1}$, every family of distributions $\{\Phi_i\}$ of the form (2.2) with properties (2.3), (2.4) and every $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ the following inequalities hold true*

$$(2.9) \quad c_1 \left(\sum_j |\Phi_j(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \rho^{-d/2} \|f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq c_2 \left(\sum_j |\Phi_j(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the case of Euclidean space when $\Phi_i = \delta_{x_i}$ and $\{x_i\}$ is the regular lattice the above inequality represents the so-called Plancherel-Polya inequality.

We prove the following extension of the Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.6. *For any given $C_\Phi > 0, c_\Phi > 0, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist positive constants C, c_1, c_2 , such that for every $\omega > 0$, every ρ -lattice $Z(x_i, \rho, N_M)$ with $0 < \rho < (C\omega)^{-1}$, every family of distributions $\{\Phi_i\}$ of the form (2.2) with properties (2.3), (2.4) and every $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ the following inequalities hold true*

$$(2.10) \quad c_1 \left(\sum_j |\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \rho^{-d/2} \|f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq c_2 \left(\sum_j |\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This implies in particular that on the space $PW_\omega(M)$ the $L_2(M)$ norm is equivalent to the norm of the Sobolev space $H^m(M)$ for every $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Indeed, since the space $PW_\omega(M)$ is invariant under the Laplace-Beltrami operator we have according to the Theorem 2.5

$$(2.11) \quad \rho^{-d/2} \|(1 + \Delta)^n f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq c_2(n) \left(\sum_j |\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

But, because the operator $(1 + \Delta)^n$ has bounded inverse

$$(2.12) \quad \|f\|_{L_2(M)} = \|(1 + \Delta)^{-n} (1 + \Delta)^n f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq c(k) \|(1 + \Delta)^n f\|_{L_2(M)}.$$

These two inequalities imply the second part of the inequality (2.10).

By the same inequality (2.9) we have

$$c_1 \left(\sum_j |\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \rho^{-d/2} \|(1 + \Delta)^n f\|_{L_2(M)},$$

and then the Bernstein inequality for f gives the left side of the inequality (2.10). $\square$

3. UNIQUENESS, STABILITY AND RECONSTRUCTION IN TERMS OF FRAMES

We consider the set of distributions of the form

$$\Phi_j^{(n)} = (1 + \Delta)^n \Phi_j, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, is a fixed number and

$$(1 + \Delta)^n \Phi_j(f) = \Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f) = \int_{K_j} (1 + \Delta)^n f d\mu_j.$$

We say that a set of functionals $\Phi^{(n)} = \{\Phi_j^{(n)}\}$ is a uniqueness set for $PW_\omega(M)$, if every $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ is uniquely determined by its values $\{\Phi_j^{(n)}(f)\}$.

For any such set $\Phi^{(n)}$ and any $\omega > 0$ the notation $l_2^\omega(\Phi^{(n)})$ will be used for a linear subspace of all sequences $\{v_j\}$ in l_2 for which there exists a function f in $PW_\omega(M)$ such that

$$\Phi_j^{(n)}(f) = v_j.$$

In general $l_2^\omega(\Phi^{(n)}) \neq l_2$.

Definition 3.1. A linear reconstruction method R for a set $\Phi^{(n)} = \{\Phi_j^{(n)}\}$ is a linear operator

$$R : l_2^\omega(\Phi^{(n)}) \rightarrow PW_\omega(M)$$

such that

$$R : \{\Phi_j^{(n)}(f)\} \rightarrow f.$$

The reconstruction method is said to be stable, if it is continuous in the topologies induced respectively by l_2 and $L_2(M)$.

Theorem 3.2. *For the given $n \in \mathbb{Z}, C_\Phi > 0, c_\Phi > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\omega > 0$, any $\rho < (C\omega)^{-1}$, any lattice $Z(x_j, \rho, N_M)$, and any family of distributions $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}$ of the type (2.2) that satisfy (2.3) and (2.4) with the given C_Φ, c_Φ , every function $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ is uniquely defined by the set of samples $\{\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, in other words, if for a $\{v_j\} \in l_2$ there exists a function $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ such that $\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f) = v_j$ for all j , then such function is unique.*

Moreover, any reconstruction method from the set of samples $\{\Phi_j^{(n)}(f)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stable.

The proof of the Theorem is an immediate consequence of the Plancherel-Polya inequalities (2.10).

Next, using the idea and the method of Duffin and Schaeffer [4] we are going to describe a stable method of reconstruction of a function $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ from the samples

$$\{\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^n f)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_2$$

Theorem 3.3. *For the given $n \in \mathbb{Z}, C_\Phi > 0, c_\Phi > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\omega > 0$, any $\rho < (C\omega)^{-1}$, any lattice $Z(x_j, \rho, N_M)$, and any family of distributions $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}$ of the type (2.2) that satisfy (2.3) and (2.4) with the given C_Φ, c_Φ , the following statement holds true:*

there exists a frame $\{\Theta_j^{(n)}\}$ in the space $PW_\omega(M)$ such that every ω -band limited function $f \in PW_\omega(M)$ can be reconstructed from a set of samples $\{\Phi_j((1 + \Delta)^{(n)}(f))\} \in l_2$ by using the formula

$$(3.1) \quad f = \sum_j \Phi_j \left((1 + \Delta)^{(n)}(f) \right) \Theta_j^{(n)}.$$

Proof. For the functional

$$f \rightarrow \Phi_j \left((1 + \Delta)^{(n)}(f) \right),$$

defined on the space $PW_\omega(M)$ we will use the notation $\Phi_j^{(n)}$. The definition of the functionals Φ_j (2.2) and the Bernstein inequality for functions from the space $PW_\omega(M)$ imply that every such functional is continuous on $PW_\omega(M)$. By the Riesz theorem there are functions $\phi_j^{(n)} \in PW_\omega(M)$ such, that for every $f \in PW_\omega(M)$

$$\Phi_j^{(n)}(f) = \langle \phi_j^{(n)}, f \rangle = \int_M \phi_j^{(n)} \bar{f}$$

Moreover, the inequalities (2.10) show that the set of functionals $\{\Phi_j^{(n)}\}$ is a frame in the space $PW_\omega(M)$.

The next goal is to show that the so-called frame operator

$$(3.2) \quad Ff = \sum_j \langle \phi_j^{(n)}, f \rangle \phi_j^{(n)}, \quad f \in PW_\omega(M),$$

is an automorphism of the space $PW_\omega(M)$ onto itself and

$$\|F\| \leq c_2, \quad \|F^{-1}\| \leq c_1^{-1},$$

where c_1, c_2 are from (2.10). Let us introduce the operator

$$F_J : PW_\omega(M) \rightarrow PW_\omega(M),$$

which is given by the formula

$$F_J f = \sum_{j \leq J} \langle f, \phi_j^{(n)} \rangle \phi_j^{(n)}, \quad f \in PW_\omega(M).$$

By the frame inequalities (2.10) and the Holder inequality we have

$$\|F_{J_1} f - F_{J_2} f\|^2 = \sup_{\|h\|=1} \left| \sum_{J_1 < j \leq J_2} \langle f, \phi_j^{(n)} \rangle \langle \phi_j^{(n)}, h \rangle \right|^2 \leq c_2 \sum_{J_1 < j \leq J_2} \left| \langle f, \phi_j^{(n)} \rangle \right|^2.$$

By the same frame inequality the right side goes to zero when J_1, J_2 go to infinity. Thus the limit

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} F_J f = Ff, \quad f \in PW_\omega(M),$$

does exists. Next,

$$\|Ff\|^2 = \sup_{\|h\|=1} \left| \sum_j \langle f, \phi_j^{(n)} \rangle \langle \phi_j^{(n)}, h \rangle \right|^2 \leq \sup_{\|h\|=1} c_2^2 \|f\|^2 \|h\|^2 = c_2^2 \|f\|^2,$$

which shows that the operator F is continuous.

Now, the frame inequalities (2.10) imply that

$$c_1 I \leq F \leq c_2 I,$$

where I is the identity operator. Thus, we have

$$c_2^{-1}F \leq I, \quad c_2^{-1}F^{-1} \geq c_1c_2^{-1}I,$$

and then

$$0 \leq I - c_2^{-1}F \leq I - c_1c_2^{-1}I = (c_2 - c_1)c_2^{-1}I.$$

It implies

$$\|I - c_2^{-1}F\| \leq \|(c_2 - c_1)c_2^{-1}I\| \leq (c_2 - c_1)c_2^{-1} < 1.$$

Thus, it shows that the operator $(c_2^{-1}F)^{-1}$ and consequently the operator F^{-1} are well defined bounded operators and because

$$F^{-1} = c_2^{-1}(C_2^{-1}F)^{-1} = c_2^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (I - c_2^{-1}F)^m,$$

it gives us the desired estimate $\|F^{-1}\| \leq c_1^{-1}$.

We obtain

$$f = F^{-1}Ff = F^{-1} \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \leq J} \langle f, \phi_j^{(n)} \rangle \phi_j^{(n)} = \sum_j \langle f, \phi_j^{(n)} \rangle \Theta_j^{(n)},$$

where

$$\Theta_j^{(n)} = F^{-1}\phi_j^{(n)},$$

gives a dual frame $\Theta_j^{(n)}$ in the space $PW_\omega(M)$.

The Theorem is proven. □

4. THE POINCARÉ UPPER HALF-PLANE

As an illustration of our results we will consider the hyperbolic plane in its upper half-plane realization.

Let $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be the special linear group of all 2×2 real matrices with determinant 1 and $K = SO(2)$ is the group of all rotations of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The factor $\mathbb{H} = G/K$ is known as the 2-dimensional hyperbolic space and can be described in many different ways. In the present paper we consider the realization of $\mathbb{H}$ which is called Poincaré upper half-plane (see [10], [11], [30]).

As a Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{H}$ is identified with the regular upper half-plane of the complex plane

$$\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy | x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\}$$

with a new Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = y^{-2}(dx^2 + dy^2)$$

and corresponding Riemannian measure

$$d\mu = y^{-2}dx dy.$$

If we define the action of $\sigma \in G$ on $z \in \mathbb{H}$ as a fractional linear transformation

$$\sigma \cdot z = (az + b)/(cz + d),$$

then the metric ds^2 and the measure $d\mu$ are invariant under the action of G on $\mathbb{H}$. The point $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{H}$ is invariant for all $\sigma \in K$. The Haar measure dg on G can be normalized in a way that the following important formula holds true

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} f(z) y^{-2} dx dy = \int_G f(g \cdot i) dg.$$

In the corresponding space of square integrable functions $L_2(G)$ with the inner product

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{H}} f \bar{h} y^{-2} dx dy$$

we consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\Delta = y^2 (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$$

of the metric ds^2 .

It is known that Δ as an operator in $L_2(\mathbb{H}) = L_2(\mathbb{H}, d\mu)$ which initially defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{H})$ has a self-adjoint closure in $L_2(\mathbb{H})$.

Moreover, if f and Δf belong to $L_2(\mathbb{H})$, then

$$\langle \Delta f, f \rangle \leq -\frac{1}{4} \|f\|^2,$$

where $\|f\|$ means the $L_2(\mathbb{H})$ norm of f .

The Helgason transform of f for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi]$, is defined by the formula

$$\hat{f}(s, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} f(z) \overline{\text{Im}(k_\varphi z)^s} y^{-2} dx dy,$$

where $k_\varphi \in SO(2)$ is the rotation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ by angle φ .

We have the following inversion formula for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{H})$

$$f(z) = (8\pi^2)^{-1} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{f}(it + 1/2, \varphi) \text{Im}(k_\varphi z)^{it+1/2} t \tanh \pi t d\varphi dt.$$

The Plancherel Theorem states that a such defined map $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ can be extended to an isometry of $L_2(\mathbb{H})$ with respect to invariant measure $d\mu$ onto $L_2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi])$ with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} t \tanh \pi t dt d\varphi.$$

If f is a function on $\mathbb{H}$ and φ is a $K = SO(2)$ -invariant function on $\mathbb{H}$ their convolution is defined by the formula

$$f * \varphi(g \cdot i) = \int_{SL(2, \mathbb{R})} f(gu^{-1} \cdot i) \varphi(u) du, i = \sqrt{-1},$$

where du is the Haar measure on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. It is known, that for the Helgason-Fourier transform the following formula holds true

$$\widehat{f * \varphi} = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{\varphi}.$$

The following formula holds true

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{\Delta f} = -\left(t^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right) \hat{f}.$$

and our Theorem 2.3 takes the following form.

Theorem 4.1. *A function $f \in L_2(\mathbb{H})$ belongs to the space $PW_\omega(\mathbb{H})$ if and only if for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ the following holds true*

$$(4.2) \quad \|\Delta^\sigma f\| \leq \left(\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)^\sigma \|f\|.$$

As it follows from the Theorem 2.4 if $f \in PW_\omega(\mathbb{H})$ then for every $\sigma \geq 0$ the function $\Delta^\sigma f$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{H})$ and is bounded on $\mathbb{H}$.

Moreover functions from $PW_\omega(\mathbb{H})$ are not just infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{H}$ but they are *real analytic functions* on the upper half-plane.

Indeed, every $f \in PW_\omega(\mathbb{H})$ is an analytic vector of the elliptic differential operator Δ which means [15] that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\Delta^k f\|}{k!} s^k \leq e^{(\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4})s} \|f\|$$

is convergent for any $s > 0$. By the famous result of Nelson [15] it implies that the function f is real analytic on $\mathbb{H}$. It shows in particular that the support of the function f is the entire half-plane $\mathbb{H}$.

This fact can be treated as a form of the uncertainty principle on $\mathbb{H}$:

If the support of the Helgason-Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ of a function $f \in L_2(\mathbb{H}, y^{-2} dx dy)$ is contained in a set $(-\omega, \omega) \times (0, 2\pi]$, then the support of f is the entire half-plane $\mathbb{H}$.

By applying the Theorem 2.3 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *A function f is an ω -band-limited signal, if for any $g \in L_2(\mathbb{H}, d\mu)$ the complex valued function*

$$t \rightarrow \langle e^{it\Delta} f, d\mu \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{H}} e^{it\Delta} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^{-2} dx dy$$

of the real variable t is an entire function of exponential type $\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4}$ which is bounded on the real line.

It is known that the one parameter group of operators $e^{it\Delta}$ acts on functions by the following formula

$$e^{it\Delta} f(z) = f * G_t,$$

where

$$G_t(ke^{-r}i) = (4\pi)^{-1} \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-i(\eta^2 + 1/4)t} P_{i\eta-1/2}(\cosh r) \eta \tanh \pi \eta d\eta,$$

here $k \in SO(2)$, r is the geodesic distance, $ke^{-r}i$ is representation of points of $\mathbb{H}$ in the geodesic polar coordinate system on $\mathbb{H}$, and $P_{i\eta-1/2}$ is the associated Legendre function.

The last Theorem can be reformulated in the following terms.

Theorem 4.3. *A function $f \in L_2(\mathbb{H}, d\mu)$ is ω -band-limited if and only if for every $g \in L_2(\mathbb{H}, d\mu)$ function*

$$t \rightarrow \langle f * G_t, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{H}} f * G_t \overline{g} d\mu$$

is an entire function of the exponential type $\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4}$ which is bounded on the real line.

We are going to describe our reconstruction algorithm using the language of frames.

Note that according to the inversion formula for the Helgason-Fourier transform we have

$$\Phi_j f(z) = (8\pi^2)^{-1} \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{f}(it + 1/2, \varphi) \Phi_j \left(\operatorname{Im}(k_\varphi z_j)^{it+1/2} \right) t \tanh \pi t d\varphi dt.$$

which means that the Helgason-Fourier transform of a distribution Φ_j is given by the formula

$$(4.3) \quad \widehat{\Phi_j} = \Phi_j \left(\operatorname{Im}(k_\varphi z)^{it+1/2} \right)$$

and

$$\widehat{\Delta^n \Phi_j} = \left(\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right)^n \Phi_j \left(\operatorname{Im}(k_\varphi z)^{it+1/2} \right)$$

In the case of Poincare upper half-plane it is not difficult to formulate our main Theorem 3.2. Moreover as the formula 4.1 shows the Laplacian Δ in the space $L_2(\mathbb{H}, y^{-2} dx dy)$ has bounded inverse which allows reconstruction from pure derivatives $\Delta^n f$. Namely

Theorem 4.4. *Given two constants $0 < c_\Phi \leq C_\Phi$ and an $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, there exists a constant $c = c(C_\Phi, c_\Phi, N, n) > 0$ such that for any $\omega > 0$, any (ρ, N) -lattice $Z(x_j, \rho, N)$ with*

$$0 < \rho < c \left(\omega^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{-1/2},$$

and every family of distributions $\Phi = \{\Phi_j\}$ that satisfy (2.2)-(2.4) with the given c_Φ, C_Φ , the following statements hold true.

1) *The set of analytic functions $\{\Delta^n \Phi_j\}$ is a frame in the space*

$$L_2 \left([-\omega, \omega] \times (0, 2\pi], \frac{1}{8\pi^2} t \tanh \pi t d\varphi \right).$$

2) *There exists a frame $\{\Theta_j^{(n)}\}$ in the space $PW_\omega(\mathbb{H})$ such that every ω -band limited function $f \in PW_\omega(\mathbb{H})$ can be reconstructed from a set of samples $\{\Phi_j(\Delta^n f)\}$ by using the formula*

$$f = \sum_j \Phi_j(\Delta^n f) \Theta_j^{(n)}.$$

When $n = 0$ and every Φ_j is a Dirac measure δ_{x_j} at a point $x_j \in \mathbb{H}$, the Theorem can be considered as an analog of the Duffin-Schaeffer result about exponential frames, since it means that Fourier transforms of the measures δ_{x_j} form a frame on the Fourier transform side.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling, *Local Harmonic analysis with some applications to differential operators*, Some Recent Advances in the Basic Sciences, vol. 1, Belfer Grad. School Sci. Annu. Sci. Conf. Proc., A. Gelbart, ed., 1963-1964, 109-125.
- [2] A. Beurling and P. Malliavin, *On the closure of characters and the zeros of entire functions*, Acta Math., **118**, (1967), 79-95.
- [3] M. Birman and M. Solomyak, *Spectral theory of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [4] R. Duffin, A. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. AMS, **72**, (1952), 341-366.
- [5] H. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *Theory and practice of irregular sampling. Wavelets: mathematics and applications*, 305-363, Stud. Adv. Math., CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1994.

- [6] H. Feichtinger and I. Pesenson, *Iterative recovery of band limited functions on manifolds*, in Wavelets, Frames and Operator Theory, Contemp. Math., 345, AMS, (2004), 137-153.
- [7] H. Feichtinger and I. Pesenson, *A reconstruction method for band-limited signals on the hyperbolic plane*, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 4 (2005), no. 2, 107-119.
- [8] H. Führ, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis of Continuous Wavelet Transforms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1863, Springer, 2005.
- [9] H. Führ and K. Gröchenig, *Sampling theorems on locally compact groups from oscillation estimates*, preprint, 2005.
- [10] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic, N.Y., 1962.
- [11] S. Helgason, *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations*, Adv. Math. **5**, (1970), 1-154.
- [12] S. Krein, I. Pesenson, *Interpolation Spaces and Approximation on Lie Groups*, The Voronezh State University, Voronezh, 1990, (Russian).
- [13] H. Landau, *Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions*, Acta. Math., **117**, (1967), 37-52.
- [14] Y. Lyubarskii, K. Seip, *Weighted Paley-Wiener spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15(2002), no. 4, 979-1006.
- [15] E. Nelson, *Analytic vectors*, Ann. of Math., 70(3), (1959), 572-615.
- [16] J. Ortega-Cerda, K. Seip, *Fourier frames*, Annals of Math., 155 (2002), 789-806.
- [17] R.E.A.C. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain*, Coll. Publ., **19**, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., (1934).
- [18] I. Pesenson, *The Best Approximation in a Representation Space of a Lie Group*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk USSR, v. 302, No 5, pp. 1055-1059, (1988) (Engl. Transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl., v.38, No 2, pp. 384-388, 1989.)
- [19] I. Pesenson, *The Bernstein Inequality in the Space of Representation of Lie group*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk USSR **313** (1990), 86-90; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **42** (1991).
- [20] I. Pesenson, *Lagrangian splines, Spectral Entire Functions and Shannon-Whittaker Theorem on Manifolds*, Temple University Research Report 95-87, (1995), 1-28.
- [21] I. Pesenson, *Reconstruction of Paley-Wiener functions on the Heisenberg group*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) Vol. **184**, (1998), 207- 216.
- [22] I. Pesenson, *Sampling of Paley-Wiener functions on stratified groups*, J. of Fourier Analysis and Applications **4** (1998), 269-280.
- [23] I. Pesenson, *Reconstruction of band-limited functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Proceed. of AMS, Vol.127(12), (1999), 3593- 3600.
- [24] I. Pesenson, *A sampling theorem on homogeneous manifolds*, Trans. of AMS, Vol. 352(9), (2000), 4257-4270.
- [25] I. Pesenson, *Sampling of Band limited vectors*, J. of Fourier Analysis and Applications **7**(1), (2001), 93-100 .
- [26] I. Pesenson, *Poincare-type inequalities and reconstruction of Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds*, J. of Geometric Analysis **4**(1), (2004), 101-121.
- [27] I. Pesenson, *Deconvolution of band limited functions on symmetric spaces*, will appear in the Houston J. of Math.
- [28] M. Plancherel, G. Polya, *Fonctions entieres et integrales de Fourier multiples*, Comment. Math. Helv. 9, (1937), 224-248.
- [29] M. Plancherel, G. Polya, *Fonctions entieres et integrales de Fourier multiples*, Comment. Math. Helv. 10, (1938), 110-163.
- [30] A. Terras, *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [31] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces II*, Monographs in Mathematics, 84. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122

E-mail address: pesenson@math.temple.edu